	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/777,436	BALFOUR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra K. Poulos	1714
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Sandra K. Poulos</u> .	(3)	
(2) Patricia DeSimone.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 October 2006	Time: <u>3:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 16, 18		
1, 11, 10, 10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	NEDAL MATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Authorization for examiner's amendment given by Ms. DeSin		T WAS DISCUSSED.
De d III		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
directly resulted in the allowance of the application.	The examiner will provide	a written summary of the substance
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	ate record of the substance mary by the examiner appear	of the interview, since the interview ars in Part II above.
•		
0		
In Ilm		tive Circuture if appropriate
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applie	canvApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)